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Abstract—An empirical study is conducted to investigate the effects of HRM practices on employee retention in Thailand’s FDI manufacturing industry. HRM practices that will be covered in this research consist of compensation & benefits management and reward system, and training & development. HR Intervening, which acts as the mediating variable, will be employee engagement. As the dependent variable and an observed variable, is employee retention. Thus, the conceptual model created for this study will comprise of two independent variables, one mediating variable and one dependent variable. A total of 5 theoretically-based hypotheses are developed, indicating possible positive and negative relationships among the variables of the model. Input consists of 400 top management, human resources managers/leaders and line managers of Thailand FDI’s key industrial zone in Rayong province. The proposed model is empirically tested by using AMOS of a Path Analysis modeling approach. It is hoped that this study will help both academics and those managing human resource to realize, understand and see the influence of HRM practices and employee retention.

Index Terms—Employee retention, human resource management (HRM) practices, Thailand’s manufacturing industry.

I. INTRODUCTION

HRM practices are essential for firm performance. Firms have used human resource practices to achieve their performance, particularly in relation to retention. The impact that human resource practices have on firm performance are apparent, as many researchers have shown in their studies from the United States and Europe [1], [2] to Asia [3], [4] and Africa [5]. Human resource management practices consist of many policies and practices that are used by managers to recruit, select, develop, utilize, reward, and maximize the potential of human resources in organizations [6]. They have been studied extensively by researchers, but the ones that have a significant effect on firm performance are compensation & benefits management and reward system, and training & development [7], [8]. These are considered by organizations to be important human resource practices for the following reasons. Compensation & benefits management and reward system are needed in organizations for financial income and well-being of their employees. Employees’ living status in society, satisfaction, loyalty, and productivity are influenced by compensation [9]. Employees need to be provided with training & development to develop their skills and perform better at work. Training and development can lead to superior knowledge, skills, abilities, attitudes, and behavior of employees, thereby enhancing excellent financial and non-financial performance of the organizations [10]. As for firm performance, employee retention is chosen as the observed variable since previous studies have shown how it has been related to effectiveness as a human resource outcome [11]. While there have been many studies on the relationship between human resource practices and firm performance, there are also studies that have managed to identify other factors that could have an impact on the relationship between HRM practices and organizational performance [12]. These factors are the HR Intervening, which mediate the relationship between HRM practices and firm performance. Employee engagement is chosen as the HR Intervening in this study, so as to gain a better understanding of the nature and the impact that employee engagement has on compensation & benefits management and reward system, and training & development as the two HRM practices, and employee retention, as the observed variable of firm performance. Thus, by understanding the relationship between HRM practices and firm performance, it would serve as a platform for managers in organizations by assisting them to achieve better performance.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

This section will focus on prior literature involving HRM practices and their interactions with employee engagement and employee retention as well as the literature surrounding the hypotheses that have been formulated for this study. It will focus, in particular, on the relationships between compensation & benefits management and reward system, and training & development as the two HRM practices, employee engagement as the HR Intervening and employee retention as the dependent variable. Study indicates potential hypothetical associations among these variables, which were derived after a systematic review of the theoretical and empirical literature on the HR-firm performance relationships. Altogether, 5 hypotheses were formulated, which are explained below.

A. Human Resource Management System

To understand the significance of HR and the way it is able to determine the performance outcome of organizations, the HR process must be viewed as a whole. Researchers have viewed organizations as systems [13], [14] and, as a result, it is important to consider the human resource inputs, processes
(practices) and outputs that will lead to a sustained competitive advantage [15] in organizations. Thus, human resource has become a unique source for sustained competitive advantage [16] in organizations. The human resource system in an organization consists of the dynamic processes of HR, such as the recruitment and selection, performance appraisals, training, and compensation. These allow the firm to acquire and develop its resources (inputs) to achieve high performance (outputs), and thereby having a competitive advantage. The human resource system model was developed by [13], outlining the various components of the human resource management system. The model is based on [17] resource-based view of the firm, consisting of variables that a person brings to the firm, such as skills and motivation [18], and the human resource processes, which include recruitment, selection, training, reward systems and performance management [19], [20]. These variables create products and services that will lead the firm towards a sustained competitive advantage. In other words, the inputs (skills and motivation) are converted through the human resource processes to achieve the outputs of job and firm performance [15].

B. Firm Performance and Organizational Effectiveness

Firm performance represents the final outcome of an effective HR system [15]. Organizational effectiveness is part of that construct and has been defined as the ability of organizations to produce desire results [21]. It can be used as measures of productivity, service quality and profitability [22]. These measurements were chosen in [22] because they would lead to a full examination of firm performance [23], [24]. In any case, researchers have used both financial and non-financial metrics to measure organizational performance [25]. Financial measures can be profit, sales, and market share. Non-financial measures can include productivity, quality, efficiency, as well as attitudinal and behavioural measures, which include commitment, intention to quit and satisfaction [26]. This study will focus on the latter.

C. Human Resource Management Practices

Using the HR system concept, human resource practice, which is the HR process part of the human resource management system [15], will be examined in this study. HR practices have been defined as a set of distinct yet interrelated activities, functions, and processes aimed at attracting, developing, and maintaining a firm’s human resources [15]. They are viewed as independent variables in most studies that can have an impact on firm performance, which is the dependent variable [27]-[29]. They consist of many activities and policies aimed at carrying out the “people” or the human resource aspect of a management position [30]. This study will only focus on two practices, which are compensation & benefits management and reward system, and training & development. These practices are chosen because they are known to have the most impact on firm performance. Each of them will now be considered in turn.

1) Compensation & benefits and reward system

Compensation refers to all forms of financial returns and tangible benefits that employee receives as part of the employment relationship [31]. Benefits are indirect financial and non-financial payments employees receive for continuing their employment with an organization [32]. Reward system is anything that is extrinsically or intrinsically reinforced, maintain and improve the employees’ behavior in an organization [33]. Compensation is one of the main features used by organizations to attract and retain their most valuable and worthy assets [34]. It is known to have a positive relationship with employee retention [35]. Benefits are also positively related to retention [36]-[38]. Reward system can affect the performance of the employee and their desire to stay with the organization [39], [40]. Reward system also plays a vital role in employee engagement, which depends on staff feeling that they are fairly rewarded for their skills, knowledge and contribution [41]. Thus, we hypothesize that:

- H1: Compensation & Benefits and Reward System have a relationship with Employee Retention.
- H2: Compensation & Benefits and Reward System have a relationship with Employee Engagement.

2) Training & development

Training & development refers to a systematic development of the knowledge, skills and attitudes required by employees to perform adequately a given task or job [42]. It is regarded as the most common human resource practice [43]. When employees feel that training is available at all times, they will have a sense of emotional attachment and commitment to the organizations, and are thus less likely to leave their jobs [44], [20] also suggested that training is an important determinant of employee retention. This is further supported by [36]-[38]. Furthermore, when managers provide opportunities for training and support regarding career development, they help create employee development and drive employee engagement [45]. From the above, we hypothesize that:

- H3: Training & Development have a relationship with Employee Retention.
- H4: Training & Development have a relationship with Employee Engagement.

D. Employee Engagement

Employee engagement is a feeling of positive emotions by employees toward their work, find their work to be personally meaningful, consider their workload to be manageable, and have hope about the future of their work [46]. To become fully engaged is to be involved in and enthusiastic about the work [47]. There are two aspects of employee engagement, which are cognitive engagement and emotional engagement/physical engagement. Cognitive engagement is the extent to which a worker is aware of their mission at work and their role in the organization. Emotional engagement/physical engagement is the extent to which the worker empathizes with others at work, and connects in a meaningful way with their co-worker [48]-[50]. According to previous studies, employee engagement has a positive effect on organizational performance outcomes, such as employee retention [51]. Thus, we hypothesize that:

- H5: Employee Engagement has a relationship with Employee Retention.
Having reviewed the literature, it is possible to develop a comprehensive framework, presented in Fig. 1, consisting of HRM practices, which include Compensation & Benefits Management and Reward System, and Training & Development as the independent variables. Employee Engagement as the HR Intervening and firm performance, which has one observed variable of employee retention, as the dependent variable.

Therefore, to conclude, both compensation & benefits management and reward system, and training & development have a direct and indirect effect on employee retention, even if training & development does not have a complete role in determining employee retention for direct effect. By reviewing the literature, their studies have shown that the two HRM practices, as a whole, do have a positive relationship with firm performance. But there are also other contexts, countries and industries. Researchers expect this to be an empirical study, specifically for Thailand’s manufacturing industry, in particular FDI firms, where their positive relationships of firm performance with HR practices will provide a useful insight to all relevant academics. As for HR practitioners, the two key HR management practices, which are compensation & benefits management and reward system, and training & development, will be of continuing concern to their organizational performance.

**REFERENCES**


**Sorasa Tongthong** is an HR professional, specializing in business consulting and human resource management and strategies. He was born in Tak province, Thailand on October 2, 1965. He obtained his undergraduate degree in B.A. political science from Chiangmai University, Thailand in 1987 and then postgraduate degrees in MBA marketing and M.A. global economics and politics from the International Institution of Ramkhamhaeng University, Thailand in 1997 and 2004, and M.A. political science from Thammasart University, Thailand in 2006. From 1987 until 2004, he had worked in various HR roles, including group HR director, senior HR manager, HR manager, recruiting manager and employee relation manager with various Multinational Organizations. Since 2004, he has been running his own HR consulting and Executive Search firm in Thailand as a managing director and senior principal. He is also a lecturer as a guest speaker at Thailand University such as Thammasart University, Naresuan University, King Mongkut Institute of Technology (KMITL), Suansuananda University and Assumption University in Thailand. His research interests are related to human resource management in Thailand’s FDI manufacturing industry.

**Jirasek Trimetsoontorn** is an assistant professor and dean at the Administration and Management College, King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang in Thailand. He was born in Thailand in 1966. He obtained his undergraduate degree in B.A. marketing from Assumption University, Thailand and then postgraduate degree in M.A. public and private management from the National Institute of Development Administration, Thailand. He completed his Ph.D. in marketing at the University of South Australia, Australia. Currently, his studies include the attitude of students towards electronic learning at the King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, the

effects that knowledge and attitude of tourists in Bangkok have on ecotourism, and factors effecting the satisfaction of students in their studies of Bachelor of Science in industry management at the King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang. His research interests are human resource management and marketing strategic & management field.

Nuthawut Rojinruntikul is an assistant professor at the Administration and Management College, King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang in Thailand. He was born in Thailand in 1972. He obtained his undergraduate degree in engineering at the King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Thonburi, Thailand and then postgraduate degree in M.A. business, economics and management at Chulalongkorn University, Thailand. He then completed his D.P.A. in human resource management at the National Institute of Development Administration, Thailand.

Currently, his studies include the attitude of students towards electronic learning at the King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, factors effecting knowledge management at the King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, and the opinions of supervisors toward the desired skills of students in their studies of Master of Science in industry management at the King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang. His research interests are human resource and business management.